

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

AUDREY J. GIBSON

*

*

*

*

*

*

CASE NO. 5:18CR94-002

**ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING
FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS**

In accordance with Standing Order 2020-06, this Court finds:



That the Defendant has consented to the use of video teleconferencing/teleconferencing

to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation with counsel; and



That the proceeding(s) to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm

to the interests of justice, for the following specific reasons:

By agreement of the parties, the sentencing is not to occur before co-defendant's sentencing which was delayed due

to a superseding indictment, the withdrawal of a guilty plea because of intervening new law, independent expert testing of drugs, the withdrawal of counsel and appointment of new counsel prior to sentencing, and multiple requests by co-defendant for the continuance of sentencing dates. Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by:



Video Teleconferencing



Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the

following reason:



The Defendant (or the Juvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video

teleconferencing capability.



Other:

Date: 4/23/20

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan
United States District Judge